

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,910	04/04/2001	Serge Muller	14XZ00098	3729
7	590 06/04/2002			
JAY L. CHASKIN			EXAMINER	
	urnpike - W3C		CHURCH, CRAIG E	
Fairfield, CT	00431		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
	DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence address-
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defarable to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will, by standard period for reply will. 	a reply within the statutory mult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/22/4	02	•
★ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disposition of Claims		
☑ Claim(s) 17-10, 17-20	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s) 1, 6, 7	is/are allowed.	
© Claim(s) 2-5, 8-10, 17-20	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approv	ed 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	jected to by the Examin	er.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	:	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority 	_	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur.) 		
	mber)	
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur 	nber) International Bureau (Pe	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the I 	nber) International Bureau (Pe	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	nber) International Bureau (Po	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received: 	nber) International Bureau (Po	CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 825,910 Art Unit 2882

It is required under 37 CFR 1.84g that legends be added to the block symbols of figures 4 and 5. Elements 32 and 33 discussed on pages 9 and 10 of the specification are not illustrated in figure 2 as described. Correction is required.

Claims 2-5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are so poorly written that their metes and bounds cannot be ascertained. The limitations conveyed by the following terms or phrases are unclear:

claim 2 --means for recognition--

claim 3 --detection element-- (detect what?)

--adapter-- (adapts what?)

claim 4 --element having a given x-ray absorption removably fixed on the device--

claim 5 --means for coding--

claim 8 --image chain--

- --parameters of the object--
- --parameters for acquisition--
- --parameters of the means for receiving--
- --parameters for positioning the device--
- --set of rules--
- --possibly from one or more parameters chosen by a user--

Serial No. Art Unit

825,910 2882

claim 9 -- image chain--

- --parameters of the object--
- --parameters for acquisition--
- --parameters of the means for receiving--
- --parameters for positioning the device--
- --set of rules--
- --possibly from one or more parameters chosen by a user--

claim 10 --element--

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title".

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the subject matter they define does not fall within one of the statutory categories.

Claims 1, 6 and 7 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The deficiencies in the claims are far more than typographic errors as remarked by applicant. The claims are so poorly written that their metes and bounds cannot be ascertained. For example, what limitation is represented by the phrase --parameters of the object--? Is this the color of a person's eyes? Is --means for coding--a cryptographic translator?

The rejection of claims 17-20 as nonstatutory subject matter

Serial No.
Art Unit

825,910 2882

is based on the material that is actually defined in the claims, and simply calling it an article of manufacture as applicant has done does not alter the fact that he is reciting computer code which is improper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

CRAIG E. CHURCH

Crang E Church

Senior Examiner ART UNIT 2882